

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,250	01/15/2004	Douglas Melton Carper	121497 (07783-0172)	6395
31450 7590 07/24/2007 MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC		EXAMINER		
100 PINE STREET			MAYES, MELVIN C	
P.O. BOX 1166 HARRISBURG, PA 17108-1166			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/758,250	CARPER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	uly 2007.	•			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are withdrawn  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	• •					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ' No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

**(1)** 

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the Brief Description of the Drawings does not refer to Figures 6 and 7.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

**(2)** 

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

(3)

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Steibel et al. 6,280,550 in view of JP 6-137103 and Baldwin et al. 5,279,892.

Steibel et al. 6,280,550 discloses a method of making a composite turbine blade comprising: providing first reinforcement comprising an insert preform of silicon carbide fabric rigidized by deposited silicon carbide (silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite preform having porosity); optionally depositing matrix material to fill only a portion of the porosity of the insert preform (silicon-silicon carbide composite preform having some porosity); providing second reinforcement comprising silicon carbide fabric plies (outer shell section preform); applying the silicon carbide fabric plies to contact the insert and define the surface shape of the blade; and depositing matrix material into the porosity of the first and second reinforcement, the depositing

also providing bonding between the first and second reinforcements. Matrix material may be deposited by melt infiltration of silicon so that the matrix is silicon carbide or mixture of silicon and silicon carbide (col. 2-7). Steibel et al. do not disclose providing the composite turbine blade with a dovetail section by inserting an insert preform in the dovetail section.

Page 3

JP 6-137103 teaches that a fiber reinforced composite turbine blade, such as of fiber strengthening ceramic (ceramic matrix composite), is made with a dovetail section using reinforcing fiber which extends from the dovetail section to the blade part (Abstract and computer translation).

Baldwin teaches that in making composite airfoils (fan blades), inserts or "preforms" are provided in both the blade part to form the core of the blade and in the root part (dovetail) of the blade. The inserts are made to be of the same composite material as the composite material layered over the inserts to form the composite blade. Using inserts enhance producibility and eliminate hundreds of prepreg layers, especially in the thick root sections (col. 2, lines 13-57, col. 4, lines 44-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Steibel et al. for making a composite turbine blade by making the turbine blade with a dovetail section, as taught by JP '103, as provided as part of a turbine blade and also made during the fabrication of a fiber reinforced composite blade. Providing the fabric plies (outer shell section preform) to extend from the blade part to a dovetail section to form both the blade and dovetail section of a turbine blade in one step of matrix deposition would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as JP '103 teaches that the reinforcing fiber for a turbine blade extends from the blade section to the dovetail section.

Providing an insert preform not only in the blade section but also in the dovetail section would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as Baldwin teaches that a composite fan blade having a root part (dovetail) is provided with insert (insert preform) not only in the blade part but also in the dovetail part in order to enhance producibility and reduce the number of prepreg layers, especially in the thick dovetail section. Providing an insert (insert preform) in the dovetail section as silicon carbide fabric rigidized by deposited silicon carbide (silicon carbidesilicon carbide composite preform having porosity), or silicon-silicon carbide composite preform having some porosity, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an insert preform in the dovetail section similar to that provided in the blade section to allow for deposition of matrix by silicon melt infiltration and bonding between the preform and the fabric plies, as disclosed by Steibel et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, in making a silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite turbine blade using insert preforms in both the blade part and dovetail part, to provide the insert preforms as similar in composition to each other and to the fabric plies which are to contact the insert preforms, as Baldwin et al. teach that the insert in the blade part and dovetail part are similar in composition and to that of the prepreg layers (plies) to enhance producibility and to reduce the number of prepreg layers required, especially in the thick dovetail part of the blade. The use of the same type of insert preform in the dovetail section as used in the blade section would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as clearly suggested by Baldwin, to make a composite blade.

Further, by providing a second reinforcement of silicon carbide fabric plies for defining the surface shape of the blade and into which silicon can be deposited by met infiltration, an outer shell preform having at least some porosity is obviously provided.

**(4)** 

Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steibel et al. 6,280,550 in view of JP 6-137103, Baldwin et al. 5,049,036 and Steibel et al. 6,258,737.

Steibel et al. 6,280,550 discloses a method of making a composite turbine blade comprising: providing first reinforcement comprising an insert preform of silicon carbide fabric rigidized by deposited silicon carbide (silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite preform having porosity); optionally depositing matrix material to fill only a portion of the porosity of the insert preform (silicon-silicon carbide composite preform having some porosity); providing second reinforcement comprising silicon carbide fabric plies (preform); applying the silicon carbide fabric plies to contact the insert preform and define the surface shape of the blade; and depositing matrix material into the porosity of the first and second reinforcement, the depositing also providing bonding between the first and second reinforcements. Matrix material may be deposited by melt infiltration of silicon so that the matrix is silicon carbide or mixture of silicon and silicon carbide. As shown in Figure 7, the insert is provided in the dovetail section of the blade (col. 2-7). Steibel et al. do not specifically disclose providing the second reinforcement as plies of silicon carbide prepreg cloth or disclose providing the composite turbine blade with a dovetail section by inserting a insert preform in the dovetail section.

JP 6-137103 teaches that a fiber reinforced composite turbine blade, such as of fiber strengthening ceramic (ceramic matrix composite), is made with a dovetail section using reinforcing fiber which extended from the dovetail section to the blade part (Abstract and computer translation).

Baldwin teaches that in making composite airfoils (fan blades), inserts or "preforms" are provided in both the blade part to form the core of the blade and in the root part (dovetail) of the blade. The preform inserts are made to be of the same composite material as the composite material layered over the inserts to form the composite blade. Using insert enhances producibility and eliminates the hundreds of prepreg layers, especially in the thick root sections (col. 2, lines 13-57, col. 4, lines 44-46).

Steibel et al. '737 teaches that in making a silicon carbide composite by melt infiltration with silicon, the silicon carbide fiber fabric is impregnated with high char yield slurry to form a prepreg before melt infiltration. The use of a high char yielding resin improves increases burnout strength, produces a hard, tough preform and provides integrity to the preform structure during silicon melt infiltration. Steibel et al. further teach that before melt infiltration, the impregnated fabric (prepregged cloth) is either subjected to compression molding, bladder molding or autoclaving to form a preform for melt infiltration. Steibel et al. also teach that carbon of micrometer particle size provided in silicon carbide preforms to give different composite properties of structure (col. 5, line 50 - col. 6, line 11, col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Steibel et al. for making a composite turbine blade by making the turbine blade with a dovetail section, as taught by JP '103 as provided as part of a turbine blade and also made during the fabrication of a fiber reinforced composite blade. Providing the fabric plies (outer shell section preform) to extend from the blade part to a dovetail section to form both the blade and dovetail section of a turbine blade in one step of matrix deposition would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art, as JP '103 teaches that the reinforcing fiber for a turbine blade extends from the blade to the dovetail section.

Providing an insert preform not only in the blade section but also in the dovetail section would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as Baldwin teaches that a composite fan blade having a root part (dovetail) is provided with insert (insert preform) not only in the blade part but also in the dovetail part in order to enhance producibility and reduce the number of prepreg layers, especially in the thick dovetail section. Providing an insert (insert preform) in the dovetail section as silicon carbide fabric rigidized by deposited silicon carbide (silicon carbidesilicon carbide composite preform having porosity), or silicon-silicon carbide composite preform having some porosity, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an insert preform in the dovetail section similar to that provided in the blade section to allow for deposition of matrix by silicon melt infiltration and bonding between the preform and the fabric plies, as disclosed by Steibel et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, in making a silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite turbine blade using insert preforms in both the blade part and dovetail part, to provide the insert preforms as similar in composition to each other and to the fabric plies which are to contact the insert preforms, as Baldwin et al. teach that the insert in the blade part and dovetail part are similar in composition and to that of the prepreg layers (plies) to enhance producibility and to reduce the number of prepreg layers required, especially in the thick dovetail part of the blade. The use of the same type of insert preform in the dovetail section as used in the blade section would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as clearly suggested by Baldwin, to make a composite blade.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further modified the method of Steibel et al. for making a composite turbine blade by providing the second reinforcement as impregnated with high char yielding slurry (prepregged or a preform) before contacting the insert preform, as taught by Steibel et al. '737, as impregnated in silicon carbon fiber fabric before silicon melt infiltration to increase burn-out strength, produce a hard, tough preform and provide integrity during silicon melt infiltration.

Autoclaving the assembly of second reinforcement prepreg and insert preform before silicon melt infiltration, as claimed in Claim 12, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as taught by Steibel et al. '737, to aid in forming the prepreg into preform shape before melt infiltration. It would have been obvious to have autoclaved to help shape the prepregged plies into the surface shape of the blade.

Providing the silicon-silicon carbide insert preform with carbon microspheres, as claimed in Claims 14 and 19, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as taught by Steibel et al. '737, as added to silicon carbide preforms to give different composite properties of structure. The use of carbon microspheres in either of the insert preform or second reinforcement preform would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art depending on desired composites properties of the insert or the surface of the composite turbine blade.

# Response to Arguments

(5)

As clearly taught by Baldwin, the use of an insert preform in both the blade and dovetail sections of composite fan blades is known in the art. While Baldwin is not directed specifically

to ceramic matrix composite fan blades, the reference is pertinent because it teaches that when making a composite blade, composite insert preforms are used in the blade and dovetail sections with prepreg layers overlying the inserts. This is similar to the method of Steibel et al. of making a ceramic matrix composite blade by layering fabric plies over a composite insert preform for making a composite blade. Use of an insert preform is clearly suggested for the thick dovetail section to reduce the number of prepreg (fabric plies) required in the dovetail section. Metallic preform inserts are clearly not the only type of inserts known for use in the dovetail section when making composite blades.

#### Conclusion

(6)

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**(7)** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 571-272-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Phillip C. Tucker can be reached on 571-272-1095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,250

Art Unit: 1734

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1734

MCM July 18, 2007